	Case 2:08-cv-00001-RSL Document 19 Filed 01/28/08 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
7	WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE
8	
9	ALBERT M. MARK,
10	) No. C08-0001RSL Plaintiff, ) ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
11	) MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
12	HOPE BAUER, et al., ) Defendants. )
13	
14	On January 15, 2008, plaintiff's pending motions for default and default judgment
15	were terminated following receipt of defendants' answer. On January 18, 2008, plaintiff
16	submitted a letter requesting a review of the file and a ruling on the default issues. The Court
17	construes plaintiff's letter as a motion for reconsideration.
18	Because an application to set aside a default is "addressed to the sound discretion
19	of the district court" (10A Charles Alan Wright, et al., Federal Practice and Procedure § 2693
20	(2007)), reconsideration would be appropriate only if plaintiff were to show that the denial of
21	his motion for default following receipt of defendant's answer was an abuse of discretion. Any
22	delay caused by the timing of defendants' response to the complaint is minor, no prejudice has
23	been shown, defendants appear to have a substantive defense, and the public has an interest in
24	resolving this dispute on the merits. The Court finds that plaintiff has not met his burden and his
25	motion for reconsideration (Dkt. # 17) is hereby DENIED.
26	ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
	MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

MMS Casuik
Robert S. Lasnik
United States District Judge

Dated this 28th day of January, 2008.

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION

-2-